

Green functions in wave propagation problems

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1976 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 9 1789

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/9/10/029>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.88

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 05:13

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

COMMENT

Green functions in wave propagation problems

E Byckling and A-J Kupiainen

Helsinki University of Technology, Department of Technical Physics, Otaniemi, Finland

Received 17 June 1976

Abstract. The validity of the simple Green function used in wave propagation problems is established by making use of the mathematically exact one.

In a recent paper Marathay (1975) has pointed out that the Green function (equation (2.9) in Byckling 1974, to be referred to as I) used by us to calculate wave propagation in optical systems is not identical with the mathematically correct one. Marathay, however, makes the conjecture that it probably gives correct results in all practical calculations. Using the exact Green function we show that, in fact, all the significant conclusions of the paper are valid.

The situation and symbols are those of figure 1 and chapter 2 in I. For the problem, Marathay has constructed a Green function, which satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\nabla'^2 + n'^2 k^2)G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = -\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'),$$

$$x > x' \Rightarrow G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')|_{x'=r} = 0$$

which imply

$$A(\mathbf{r}') = - \int_{r'=r} A(\mathbf{r}') \frac{\partial G(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}')}{\partial r'} r'^2 d\Omega. \tag{1}$$

Moreover one has

$$\frac{\partial G(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}')}{\partial r'} \Big|_{r'=r} = -\frac{1}{r^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_l^{(1)}(n'kr')}{h_l^{(1)}(n'kr)} \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} P_l(\cos \theta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')).$$

Substituting

$$A(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\exp(ink|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|)}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} = ink \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} j_l(nkr) h_l^{(1)}(nkt)(2l+1)P_l(-\cos \theta)$$

in (1) we get, using the result of integration given by equation (A.7) in I:

$$A(\mathbf{r}') = \frac{2ink}{\pi} \sum_{l,l'} (ll')^{1/2} \frac{\sin(l-l')\hat{\theta}}{(l-l')} e^{\pm i\pi l} \frac{h_l^{(1)}(n'kr')}{h_{l'}^{(1)}(n'kr)} j_l(nkr) h_l^{(1)}(nkt)$$

where we have used $P_l(-\cos \theta) = (-1)^l P_l(\cos \theta) = e^{\pm i\pi l} P_l(\cos \theta)$, and $(2l+1)(2l'+1) \cong 4ll'$. Now using the asymptotic formula for $h_l^{(1)}$ given in I, equation (A.14),

$$h_l^{(1)}(z) \xrightarrow{z \rightarrow \infty} z^{-1/2} (z^2 - l^2)^{-1/4} \exp\{i[(z^2 - l^2)^{1/2} - l \cos^{-1}(l/z) - \frac{1}{4}\pi]\}$$

we get

$$A(t') = \frac{2i}{\pi(t't')^{1/2}} \sum_{l,l'} \left[(ll')^{1/2} e^{i\pi l} \frac{\sin(l-l')\hat{\theta}}{(l-l')} \left((n^2 k^2 r^2 - l^2) \times \frac{(n'^2 k'^2 t'^2 - l'^2)}{(n'^2 k'^2 r^2 - l'^2)} (n^2 k^2 t^2 - l^2) \right)^{-1/4} \cdot \cos \Phi_l \exp(-i\Phi_{l'} + i\Psi_l + i\Psi'_{l'}) \right]. \quad (2)$$

Here the functions Φ_l, Ψ_l are as in equations (2.17) and (2.18) of I. If we compare (2) with equation (2.16) of I, we note the following differences:

- (i) If $n'kr \gg l'$, the new modulus of $A(t')$ is $(n'k\pi)^{-1}$ times the old one.
- (ii) The old and new phases are:

$$\phi_{ll'}^{\text{old}} = \pm\Phi_l \pm \Phi_{l'} - \Psi_l - \Psi'_{l'} \pm \pi l \quad (3)$$

$$\phi_{ll'}^{\text{new}} = \pm\Phi_l - \Phi_{l'} + \Psi_l + \Psi'_{l'} \pm \pi l. \quad (4)$$

The calculations made in I were based on the method of stationary phase which requires $\partial\phi_{ll'}/\partial l = 0$. The correct sign combinations in (3) were then shown to be

$$\phi_{ll'}^{\text{old}} = -\Phi_l + \Phi_{l'} - \Psi_l - \Psi'_{l'} - \pi l.$$

From (4) it is seen that the result

$$\phi_{ll'}^{\text{old}} = -\phi_{ll'}^{\text{new}}$$

can be obtained by choosing appropriate signs in (4). The sign change arises from the trivial difference in the definitions in the two papers. Thus the wave-tracing calculations in I remain the same whether we use the Green function of I or the exact one of Marathay.

References

Byckling E 1974 *J. Phys. A: Math., Nucl. Gen.* **7** 505
 Marathay A S 1975 *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **65** 909